

Research

Research Update:

Swedish Truck Maker Scania Outlook Revised To Stable After Same Action On VW; 'BBB+/A-2' Ratings Affirmed

Primary Credit Analyst:

Vittoria Ferraris, Milan (39) 02-72111-207; vittoria.ferraris@spglobal.com

Secondary Contact:

Alex P Herbert, London (44) 20-7176-3616; alex.herbert@spglobal.com

Table Of Contents

Overview

Rating Action

Rationale

Outlook

Ratings Score Snapshot

Issue Ratings

Related Criteria

Related Research

Ratings List

Research Update:

Swedish Truck Maker Scania Outlook Revised To Stable After Same Action On VW; 'BBB+/A-2' Ratings Affirmed

Overview

- We have revised our outlook on Scania's parent Volkswagen AG (VW), to stable from negative, due to the company's stabilized performance.
- We align our outlook on Scania with that on VW because VW controls 100% of Scania.
- We are therefore revising our outlook on Scania to stable from negative and affirming our 'BBB+/A-2' ratings.
- The stable outlook reflects the outlook on VW and our expectation that Scania will maintain low debt and positive free operating cash flow (excluding the captive finance operations) over 2018-2020.

Rating Action

On Nov. 8, 2017, S&P Global Ratings revised its outlook on Sweden-based heavy vehicle manufacturer Scania AB (publ.) to stable from negative. We also affirmed our 'BBB+/A-2' long- and short-term corporate credit ratings, 'K-1' Nordic regional scale rating, and 'zaAAA/zaA-1+' South Africa national scale ratings on Scania.

At the same time, we affirmed our 'BBB+' issue ratings on Scania's senior unsecured bonds.

Rationale

The outlook revision reflects a similar rating action on Scania's Germany-based parent company Volkswagen AG (VW; BBB+/Stable/A-2). We do not observe any substantial change in Scania's stand-alone credit profile, which we continue to assess at 'bbb+'. Our assessment includes a potential contingent liability for Scania of approximately €880 million from an EU fine for alleged collusive behavior in 1997-2011, which we understand Scania intends to appeal. In our view, Scania has headroom under its financial risk profile should the contingent liability become due in the future.

Based on Scania's reported figures in the first nine months of 2017, we believe our base-case assumptions are relatively conservative, even though the company's performance in some markets shows some deviations. Softer-than-expected truck deliveries in Europe are offset by consistent growth in Latin America and Asia. Order bookings for trucks are up 27%

compared with those in the first nine months of 2016, underlining solid fundamentals for the group's performance in 2017.

In our base case for Scania, we assume:

- Over-the-cycle truck sales will correlate closely with economic growth, with slower-than-expected growth denting sales volumes. For 2017, we assume 3.5% GDP growth globally, including about 1.6% in the EU.
- Mid-single-digit percentage increases in Scania's revenues in 2017 and 2018, owing to high volumes. This predominantly reflects our expectations of continued strong demand for trucks in Europe, partly offset by weak market conditions in South America. Replacement needs should, in our view, continue to fuel demand in Europe.
- Average capital expenditures (capex) of SEK8 billion per year.
- An annual dividend of 50% of net income, in accordance with Scania's financial policy, after a significant dividend to VW in 2015.
- No material acquisitions.
- No material disposals.

Based on these assumptions, we arrive at the following credit measures:

- Adjusted operating margins of approximately 8%.
- Adjusted funds from operations (FFO) to debt above 100%.
- Adjusted debt to EBITDA below 1x.

Liquidity

We assess Scania's liquidity as strong, based on our projection that the company's ratio of potential sources of liquidity to uses will exceed 1.5x in 2017 and stand at least at 1.0x 2018. In our view, management has a proactive approach to financing, and we believe that liquidity would remain sufficient to cover uses even if EBITDA fell by 30%. Other supportive factors include Scania's solid relationships with its banks and its likely ability to absorb high-impact, low-probability events without refinancing. We observe that Scania chose not to tap the unsecured Eurobond market at the time of the emissions scandal involving VW. The company did not have difficulty meeting its liquidity needs, however, thanks to its well-managed liquidity position. Our assessment does not include any litigation-related contingent liability.

Principal liquidity sources:

- Cash and liquid assets of around SEK11 billion on Sept. 30, 2017.
- About SEK28 billion in undrawn fully committed credit facilities, maturing beyond 12 months.
- Our expectation of FFO of more than SEK11 billion per year, on average, in 2017 and 2018.

Principal liquidity uses:

- Debt maturities of around SEK15 billion per year on average in the next two years.
- Some working capital outflow that we estimate at SEK2 billion on average.
- Annual capex of SEK6 billion-SEK7 billion.
- Annual dividends of SEK1.7 billion-SEK1.9 billion.

Scania's financial agreements contain no financial covenants.

Outlook

The stable outlook on Scania reflects the outlook on its parent VW, and our expectation that Scania will maintain low debt and positive free operating cash flow (excluding the captive finance operations) over 2018-2020.

Downside scenario

Downside rating potential is predominantly tied to the rating on VW. We could also lower the rating on Scania if, in our view, Scania's stand-alone credit profile had deteriorated to below that of VW. This would most likely result from further distributions to VW that triggered a material deterioration of Scania's credit metrics, which we see as unlikely at this stage.

Upside scenario

We believe rating upside is limited for Scania, since it is contingent upon an upgrade of VW and, simultaneously, an improvement of Scania's stand-alone credit profile. As long as we believe that Scania remains exposed to its parent's capacity and willingness to tap its funds, as occurred in 2016 when Scania paid a sizeable dividend to VW, the likelihood of an upgrade is remote.

Ratings Score Snapshot

Corporate credit rating: BBB+/Stable/A-2

Business risk: Satisfactory

- Country risk: Intermediate
- Industry risk: Moderately high
- Competitive position: Strong

Financial risk: Minimal

- Cash flow/leverage: Minimal

Anchor: a-

Modifiers

- Diversification/portfolio effect: Neutral (no impact)
- Capital structure: Neutral (no impact)
- Liquidity: Strong (no impact)
- Financial policy: Negative (-1 notch)
- Management and governance: Satisfactory (no impact)
- Comparable ratings analysis: Neutral (no impact)
- Captive Finance: Neutral (no impact)

Stand-alone credit profile: bbb+

Group credit profile: bbb+

- Entity status within group: Highly strategic

Issue Ratings

Capital Structure

Scania's capital structure consists of senior unsecured debt issued at core subsidiaries (Scania CV AB).

Analytical Conclusions

The debt is rated 'BBB+', the same as the long-term corporate credit rating, since no significant elements of subordination risk are present in the capital structure. This is also supported by the company's low leverage, resulting in our view of minimal financial risk.

Related Criteria

- Criteria - Corporates - General: Reflecting Subordination Risk In Corporate Issue Ratings, Sept. 21, 2017
- General Criteria: S&P Global Ratings' National And Regional Scale Mapping Tables, Aug. 14, 2017
- General Criteria: Methodology For Linking Long-Term And Short-Term Ratings , April 7, 2017
- Criteria - Corporates - General: Methodology: The Impact Of Captive Finance Operations On Nonfinancial Corporate Issuers, Dec. 14, 2015
- Criteria - Corporates - General: Methodology And Assumptions: Liquidity Descriptors For Global Corporate Issuers, Dec. 16, 2014
- General Criteria: National And Regional Scale Credit Ratings, Sept. 22, 2014
- General Criteria: Methodology: Industry Risk, Nov. 19, 2013
- General Criteria: Group Rating Methodology, Nov. 19, 2013
- Criteria - Corporates - Industrials: Key Credit Factors For The Auto And Commercial Vehicle Manufacturing Industry, Nov. 19, 2013
- Criteria - Corporates - General: Corporate Methodology: Ratios And Adjustments, Nov. 19, 2013
- Criteria - Corporates - General: Corporate Methodology, Nov. 19, 2013
- General Criteria: Country Risk Assessment Methodology And Assumptions, Nov. 19, 2013
- General Criteria: Methodology: Management And Governance Credit Factors For Corporate Entities And Insurers, Nov. 13, 2012
- General Criteria: Use Of CreditWatch And Outlooks, Sept. 14, 2009

Related Research

- German Automaker Volkswagen Outlook Revised To Stable From Negative; 'BBB+/A-2' Ratings Affirmed, Nov. 6, 2017

Ratings List

Ratings Affirmed; Outlook Action

	To	From
Scania AB (publ.)		
Corporate Credit Rating	BBB+/Stable/A-2	BBB+/Negative/A-2
Nordic Regional Scale	--/--/K-1	--/--/K-1
South Africa National Scale	zaAAA/--/zaA-1+	zaAAA/--/zaA-1+
Scania CV AB		
Senior Unsecured	BBB+	BBB+
Commercial Paper	K-1	K-1

Additional Contact:

Industrial Ratings Europe; Corporate_Admin_London@spglobal.com

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at www.standardandpoors.com for further information. Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at www.capitaliq.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on the S&P Global Ratings' public website at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column. Alternatively, call one of the following S&P Global Ratings numbers: Client Support Europe (44) 20-7176-7176; London Press Office (44) 20-7176-3605; Paris (33) 1-4420-6708; Frankfurt (49) 69-33-999-225; Stockholm (46) 8-440-5914; or Moscow 7 (495) 783-4009.

Copyright © 2017 Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain credit-related analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com and www.globalcreditportal.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.